1 Tammy Hussin (Bar No. 155290) Lemberg & Associates, LLC 6408 Merlin Drive 3 Carlsbad, CA 92011 Telephone (855) 301-2100 ext. 5514 4 thussin@lemberglaw.com 5 Lemberg & Associates, LLC 1100 Summer Street 7 Stamford, CT 06905 Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 10 Scotti Taylor 11 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **15** Scotti Taylor, **16** Case No.: '13CV0370 IEG WMC 17 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES** 18 1. VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT **COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT,** VS. 19 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ET. SEQ; 20 Performance Asset Recovery; and DOES 2. VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT 1-10, inclusive, **COLLECTION PRATICES ACT,** 21 CAL.CIV.CODE § 1788 ET. SEQ. 22 Defendants. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 23 24 25 **26** 27 28

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES** 

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Scotti Taylor, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

## **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
  - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendants transact business here and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred here.

## **PARTIES**

- 4. The Plaintiff, Scotti Taylor (hereafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Oceanside, California, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. The Defendant, Performance Asset Recovery (hereafter "Performance"), is a company with an address of 29910 Ohana Circle, Lake Elsinore, California 92532, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Performance and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
- 7. Performance at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

# **ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS**

## A. The Debt

- 8. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the "Debt") to Bally Total Fitness (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Performance for collection, or Performance was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

# B. Performance Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

12. Within the last year, Performance contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.

- 13. The Debt is past California statute of limitations.
- 14. Performance failed to advise Plaintiff that the Debt was past the statute of limitations and was no longer legally enforceable, and instead threatened to file a legal action against Plaintiff if the Debt was not paid immediately.
- 15. Performance had no ability to file a legal action against Plaintiff since the Debt is past the statute of limitations and as such its statements were misleading and false.
- 16. Performance called Plaintiff's grandmother on multiple occasions in an attempt to collect the Debt.
- 17. Performance contacted Plaintiff's grandmother after speaking with Plaintiff and after knowing her location information, and contacted the grandmother for purposes other than to obtain Plaintiff's contact information.
- 18. During a conversation with Plaintiff's grandmother, Performance stated that Plaintiff would be arrested if the Debt was not paid immediately.
- 19. During most conversations with Plaintiff, Performance failed to identify itself and failed to inform Plaintiff that the call was an attempt to collect the Debt and all information obtained would be used for that purpose.
- 20. Performance failed to advise Plaintiff of her rights in writing as it is required by law.

# COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 21. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 22. The Defendants communicated with individuals other than the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff's attorney, or a credit bureau, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).
- 23. Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d.
- 24. The Defendants placed calls to the Plaintiff without disclosing the identity of the debt collection agency, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6).
- 25. Defendants used false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means to collect the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 26. The Defendants misrepresented the character and legal status of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2).
- 27. The Defendants threatened the Plaintiff with imprisonment if the debt was not paid, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4).
- 28. The Defendants threatened to take action without legal ability to do so or without actually intending to do so, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5).

- 29. The Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).
- 30. The Defendants failed to inform the consumer that the communication was an attempt to collect a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11).
- 31. Defendants used an unfair and unconscionable means to collect the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
- 32. The Defendants failed to send Plaintiff an initial letter within five days of its initial contact with Plaintiff as required by law, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).
- 33. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
- 34. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

# <u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATION OF THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION</u> <u>PRACTICES ACT, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 et seq.</u>

35. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 36. The Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code section 1788 et seq. ("Rosenthal Act") prohibits unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the collection of consumer debts.
- 37. Performance Asset Recovery, in the regular course of business, engages in debt collection and is a "debt collector" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(c).
- 38. The Defendants threatened the Plaintiff with arrest if the debt was not paid, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.10(e).
- 39. The Defendants did not disclose the identity of the debt collection agency when communicating with the Plaintiff, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(b).
- 40. The Defendants failed to comply with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.13(e).
- 41. The Defendants communicated information about the debt to the Plaintiff's extended family, without the intent of confirming the Plaintiff's location and without consent from the Plaintiff or the Plaintiff's attorney, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.12(b).
- 42. The Defendants falsely represented that a legal proceeding had been or was about to be instituted unless the debt was paid immediately, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.13(j).
- 43. The Defendants did not comply with the provisions of Title 15, Section 1692 of the United States Code, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

44. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

- A. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against the Defendants;
- B. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against the Defendants;
- C. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) against the Defendants;
- D. Actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a);
- E. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for knowingly and willfully committing violations pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b);
- F. Actual damages from the Defendants for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;
- G. Punitive damages; and

H. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper. TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS DATED: February 15, 2013 By: /s/ Tammy Hussin Tammy Hussin, Esq. Lemberg & Associates, LLC Attorney for Plaintiff, Scotti Taylor 

# $\underset{JS\;44\;(Rev.\;09/11)}{\text{Case 3:13-cv-00370-IEG-WMC}} \underset{CIVIL\;COVER\;SHEET}{\text{Document 1}} \underset{Page ID.10}{\text{Filed 02/15/13}} \quad \text{Page ID.10} \quad \text{Page 10 of 10}$

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTIFFS)		DEFENDANTS	2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Scotti Taylor				Performance Asset Recovery			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant County of Riverside  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Tammy Hussin, 6404 Merlin Drive, Carlsbad, CA 92011 855-301-2300 X 5514; of Counsel to: Lemberg & Associates, 1100 Summer St 3rd Fl Stamford CT 06905 2036532250				Attorneys (If Known)  13 CV0370 IEG WMC			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI			III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  Zen of This State  □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place  of Business In This State  □ 4 □ 4			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizo	Citizen of Another State			
NA NATURE OF CHUM				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability   367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   380 Other Personal Property Damage   385 Property Damage Product Liability   PRISONER PETITIO   510 Motions to Vacata Sentence Habeas Corpus:   530 General   535 Death Penalty   540 Mandamus & Ott   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition   560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	ATY	LABOR  O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act MMIGRATION New York Security Act New York Security Act Security Act O Manual Englishment Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) Other Immigration	422 Appe	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157  RTY RIGHTS rights t t tmark  SECURITY (1395ff) £ Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g))  SL TAX SUITS G(U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit  ★ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<b>本</b> 1 Original □ 2 Rei		Confinement  Remanded from Appellate Court		stated or $\Box$ 3 anoth	sferred from	☐ 6 Multidistri	ict
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 15 U.S.C. 1692 Brief description of ca	tute under which you a	re filing (	Do not cite jurisdictional st			
VII. REQUESTED IN				CMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: 00.00 JURY DEMAND:  Yes  No			
III. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 02/15/2013		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT #AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUE	OGE